

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
Larry Schwartz	SALES 3.0-034 CIP CIP CIP	2563
	EXAM	INER
	HURLEY, SHAUN R	
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	3765	
		Larry Schwartz  SALES 3.0-034 CIP CIP CIP EXAM HURLEY,

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\omega$	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/806,741	SCHWARTZ, LARRY	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Shaun R. Hurley	3765	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION of the notice of the second of the s	CATION. reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	<i>ıly 2005</i> .		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>13-16,18-20,22-32 and 34-70</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)⊠	Claim(s) 46 and 48 is/are allowed.			
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>13,14,16,18-20,22,23,28-32,34-45 an</u>	<u>d 65-70</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) <u>15,24-27,47 and 49-64</u> is/are objected			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•	
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 November 2004</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	] objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		· · · ·	
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>			
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	*	received in this National Stage	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.	
Attachmen		_		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/806,741 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowen, Jr. (6007911) in view of Gray (4897989).

Bowen teaches a woven panel (Abstract; fabric) comprising first and second yarns comprising foamed polymer material (Abstract; foamed; figure 1), each having a core of polymer material (foamed polymer material). While Bowen essentially teaches the invention as described, he fails to specifically teach each yarn being a plied yarn of foamed polymer material. Gray teaches that such plied yarns, as used in woven panels, are well known (Figure 1 shows structure of yarn as ply; abstract teaches use in pile fabric). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to utilize the foamed yarns of Bowen in a plied manner, in their woven fabric use, so as to provide added strength to the woven structure. Such a plied structure provides a bicomponent axial strength member, enabling each yarn to withstand more axial force, and as a result, strengthening the fabric itself. The ordinarily skilled artisan would have known this, and understood the benefits of using such a well known plied structure.

## **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application

Application/Control Number: 10/806,741 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 13, 14, 16, 18-20, 28-32, 34-45, and 65-70 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 5-7, 9, 10, 15, 23, 24, 28-30, 32, 36, and 37 of U.S. Patent No. 6705070. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both teach a yarn comprising twisted strands of foamed polymer, with differing surfaces, adhered to each other over their surfaces.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 15, 24-27, 47, and 49-64 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 46 and 48 are allowed.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shaun R. Hurley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4986. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Fri, 6:30 am 3:00 pm, off second Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,741

Art Unit: 3765

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on (571) 272-4983. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SRH

09 December 2005

Patent Examiner
Tech Center 3700

Page 4